# I/O Characteristics of Scientific Applications

Chen Wang<sup>1</sup>, Adam Moody<sup>2</sup>, Elsa Gonsiorowski<sup>2</sup>, Kathryn Mohror<sup>2</sup>, Marc Snir<sup>1</sup>



- 1. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
- 2. Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory



### Some interesting questions

- To what extend can we relax POSIX semantics to improve I/O performance without breaking applications?
- What function calls (API) are needed to implement a file system?
- Do different processes read or write to the same part of a file?
- Do any applications actually write to the same bytes in a file twice?
- Do processes change files after they close the file? Or are the file contents permanent after closing the file?

## Recorder - a lightweight tracing library

- Able to trace HDF5, MPI-I/O, and POSIX I/O
- Captures all arguments with compressed encoding
  - Many analyses and visualization tasks and can be done without decompression
- Detailed visualization report
  - Function counts and function set
  - Access patterns of each rank
  - I/O granularities



POSIN



### Traces from 22/25 applications

ſ	#	App	Version	Description
ſ	1	Flash [2]	4.4	
ſ	2	Nek5000	v19.0-rc1	High-order solver for computational fluid dynamics.
	3	IOR	3.3.0+dev	Parallel filesystem I/O benchmark.
ſ	4	QMCPACK [10]	3.7.0	Electronic structure code that implements numerous Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) algorithms.
ſ	5	VASP	5.4.4	Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package for atomic scale materials modelling.
6		LULESH [9]	2.0	Livermore unstructured Lagrangian explicit shock hydrodynamics.
7		ENZO	2.5	AMR simulation code for rich, multi-physics hydrodynamic astrophysical calculations.
8		LBANN [14]	1.0.0	Livermore big artificial neural network toolkit.
		ExaWind:		
9		- Nalu-Wind [6]	1.0	Wind energy focused variant of Nalu.
	10	- OpenFast [1]	1.0.0	Open-source wind turbine simulation tool that was established with the FAST v8.
	11	HACC-IO	1.0 beta	Hardware accelerated cosmology code simulation.
	12	NWChem [13]	6.8.1	Open source high-performance computational chemistry.
ſ	13	ParaDis	2.5.1.1	Large scale dislocation dynamics simulation code to study the fundamental mechanisms of plasticity.
ſ	14	Keras [5]	2.2.4	A high-level neural networks API, written in Python.
15		Chombo [3]	3.2	Software for adaptive solutions of partial differential equations.
ſ	16	GTC [11]	0.92	Parallel, particle-in-cell code for turbulence simulation.
17		GAMESS [8]	0.92	General atomic and molecular electronic structure system.
18		Adcirc	53.04	A system for solving time dependent, free surface circulation and transport problems.
19		E3SM/CESM		
ſ		Exaalt:		
	20	- LAMMPS [12]	12Dec 18	Large-scale molecular dynamics code with a focus on materials modeling.
	21	- LATTE [4]	1.2.1	Open source density functional tight binding molecular dynamics.
ſ	21	GTC-P		
ſ	22	MILC QCD	7.7.11	MILC collaboration code for lattice QCD calculations.
23		MSAProbs [7]	1.0.5	Parallel and accurate multiple sequence alignment.
24		mpiBLAST		Parallel implementation of NCBI BLAST
25		HavoqGT	0.1	HavoqGT is a framework for expressing asynchronous vertex-centric graph algorithms.
_		-		

### Some observations

- Rarely read back once written or closed.
- Many overlapping reads, almost no overlapping writes.
- Many attributes, e.g., last access time, last modification time, and last change time, are never used by applications directly.
- Most files are read-only or write-only.
- Many metadata related functions are called behind the scene.

App	R/W only	R→R	$W \rightarrow W$	$R \rightarrow W$	$W \rightarrow R$
Flash	$\checkmark$	×	Х	×	Х
Nek5000	$\checkmark$	S;M	×	×	Х
LAMMPS	$\checkmark$	Х	Х	Х	Х
VASP	$\checkmark$	S;M	S	×	Х
QMCPack	$\checkmark$	М	S;M	Х	Х
ENZO	×	×	Х	S	Х
LBANN	$\checkmark$	М	×	×	Х

Func	FLASH	Nek5000	LAMMPS	QMCPACK	ENZO	VASP	LBANN
lstat	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
lstat64	$\checkmark$						
stat	$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$		
stat64		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
fstat	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
fstat64		$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$	
getcwd	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
access	$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$		
faccessat							$\checkmark$
umask	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			
fileno		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
readlink				$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
unlink						$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
mkdir		$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$		
readdir							$\checkmark$
closedir							$\checkmark$

Percentage of Contiguous Calls vs Non-Contiguous Calls



■ Contiguous ■ Non-Contiguous

### More work to be done

- Collect traces for different configurations, e.g., different problem sizes, with/without OpenMP, with MPI-hints, etc.) and on larger scales.
- What is the minimum POSIX semantics requirements for applications?
- How much do reads skip around files?
- What is the read cache paging effectiveness for a given cache/page size?