

Virtual-to-Physical Mapping Inference in Cloud Environments

Yang Song, Ramani Routray, and Rakesh Jain **IBM Almaden Research Center** 650 Harry Road, San Jose, CA 95120 yangsong, routrayr, rakeshj@us.ibm.com

1. Motivation

Virtualization is a double-edged sword. Pros:

4. Step II: Inference Algorithms $\min_{H} ||Y - HX||_{F}^{2}$ s.t. *H* > 0

H is a D-by-V matrix to be inferred. Each element of H denotes the average number of I/O counts of a disk caused by a volume. **Our solutions:**

- Flexible management
- Efficient resource utilization
- Multi-tenancy

Cons:

- Additional layers of indirections
- Obscured resource mapping
- Root cause analysis is challenging

2. Objective

Can we infer the virtual-to-physical mapping relationship, by <u>only</u> observing the I/O counts of the inputs and the outputs?

(a) Nonnegative Matrix Factorization (NMF) Based Algorithm

(b) General Primal-Dual Based Algorithm

5. Numerical Example

- IBM System Storage SAN Volume Controller in a virtualized storage environment.
- 10 volumes, 5 disks, I/O measurement every 5 minutes for two days.



- 3. Step I: Measurements

$$X = [x_{j,n}] \forall j = 1, \cdots, V, \text{ and } \forall n = 1, \cdots, N,$$

Number of I/O counts for volume *j* at time *n*.

$$Y = [y_{i,n}] \forall i = 1, \dots, D, \text{ and } \forall n = 1, \dots, N,$$

Number of I/O counts for disk *i* at time *n*.

6. Takeaways

- Virtual-to-physical mapping relationship is required for many applications.
- Our work provides an inference framework without complex privileged queries.
- Lightweight, non-intrusive, easy to implement.